# **On nearly** *S***-paracompactness**

JOSÉ SANABRIA\*1, OSMIN FERRER1, CLARA BLANCO2

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Educación y Ciencias, Universidad de Sucre, Sincelejo, COLOMBIA. <sup>2</sup>Programa de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias Básicas, Universidad del Atlántico, Barranquilla, COLOMBIA;

Abstract: - The objective of the present work is to introduce the notion of  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact subset, which is closely related to  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact and  $\alpha$ S-paracompact subsets. Moreover, we study the invariance under direct and inverse images of open, perfect and regular perfect functions of the nearly S-paracompact spaces [10] and analyze the behavior of such spaces through the sum and topological product.

*Key-Words:* - semi-open set; regular open set; nearly *S*-paracompact space;  $\alpha$ -nearly *S*-paracompact subset; perfect function; sum space; product space.

Received: March 12, 2021. Revised: June 15, 2021. Accepted: July 8, 2021. Published: August 12, 2021.

## **1** Introduction

The notion of paracompactness was introduced in 1944 by J. Dieudonné [1], succeeding in showing that metrizable locally compact spaces are paracompact. In the present year, several works have been carried out related to modifications of paracompact spaces, which have triggered interesting results, as we can see in [2], [3] and [4].

The notion of  $\alpha$ -paracompact subset was introduced in 1966 by C. E. Aull [5] as follows: a subset of a space is said to be  $\alpha$ -paracompact if every open cover of the subset has a locally finite open refinement which cover the subset. In 1969, M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya [6] introduced a generalization de paracompactness called nearly paracompact space. This class of spaces was defined using the regular open sets due to M. H. Stone [7] and is characterized by the condition that every regular open cover of the space has a locally finite open refinement which cover the space. Replacing the open cover with a regular open cover in the definition of  $\alpha$ -paracompact subset, in 1979, I. Koväcević [8] introduced the class of  $\alpha$ nearly paracompact subsets, as follows: a subset of a space is said to be  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact if every regular open cover of the subset has a locally finite open refinement which cover the subset. Similarly, in 2006, K. Y. Al-Zoubi [9] introduced the notions of S-paracompact space and  $\alpha$ S-paracompact subset. Very recently, J. Sanabria, E. Rosas, and C. Blanco [10] have introduced and investigated the concept of nearly S-paracompact space, which is closely related to nearly paracompact and S-paracompact spaces, in the sense that each nearly paracompact space (resp. S-paracompact) is nearly S-paracompact.

The objective of the present work is to introduce the notion of  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact subset, whose definition is similar to the different concepts of subsets mentioned above, but in this case the given cover is formed by regular open sets and the refinement is formed by semi-open sets. Moreover, we study the invariance under direct and inverse images of open, perfect and regular perfect functions of the nearly Sparacompact spaces and analyze the behavior of such spaces through the sum and topological product. This work can be motivating to obtain results and applications in the contexts given in [2], [3] and [4]. Also, it might be of interest to explore new modifications of paracompactness in ideal topological spaces, soft topological spaces and ideal soft topological spaces.

# 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $(X, \tau)$  always means a topological space (or simply space) on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. If A is a subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then we denote the closure of A and the interior of A by Cl(A) and Int(A), respectively. A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *semiopen* [11] if there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $U \subset A \subset$ Cl(U). This is equivalent to say that  $A \subset Cl(Int(A))$ . A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is called *regular open* [7] if A = Int(Cl(A)). The complement of a semi-open (resp. regular open) set is called a *semi-closed* (resp. *regular closed*) set. The collection of all semi-open (resp. regular open) sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $SO(X,\tau)$  (resp.  $RO(X,\tau)$ ). The semi-closure [12] of a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , denoted by sCl(A), is defined by the intersection of all semi-closed sets containing A, and the  $\delta$ -closure of A [13], denoted by  $Cl_{\delta}(A)$ , is defined as the set of all points  $x \in X$ such that  $A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U)) \neq \emptyset$  for each open set U containing x. A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\delta q$ closed [14] (resp. sg-closed [15]) if  $Cl_{\delta}(A) \subset U$ (resp.  $sCl(A) \subset U$ ) whenever  $A \subset U \neq U \in \tau$ (resp.  $U \in SO(X, \tau)$ ). Also, a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ is called  $\theta$ s-open [9] if for each  $x \in A$  there exists  $U \in \tau$  such that  $x \in U \subset \mathrm{sCl}(U) \subset A$ . The complement of a  $\theta s$ -open set is called a  $\theta s$ -closed set. A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *nearly* S-paracompact [10], if every regular open cover of X has a locally finite semi-open refinement which covers to X (we do not require a refinement to be a cover). A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *nearly compact* [16] if every regular open cover of X has a finite subcover. It is well known that, if Y is a subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , the relative topology induced on Y by  $\tau$ , denoted by  $\tau_Y$ , is the collection  $\tau_Y = \{U \cap Y : U \in \tau\}$ . The following lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** [17, Lemma 4] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. If *Y* is an open or dense subset of *X*, then:

$$I. \operatorname{RO}(Y, \tau_Y) = \{V \cap Y : V \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau)\}.$$

2. 
$$(\tau_Y)_s = (\tau_s)_Y$$
.

The proof of the next lemma immediately follow from Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space and  $U \subset Y \subset X$ , where  $Y \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau)$ . If  $U \in \operatorname{RO}(Y, \tau_Y)$ , then  $U \in$  $\operatorname{RO}(X, \tau).$ 

The proofs of the next two lemmas immediately follow from [12, Theorem 2.13] and [18, Theorem 1.9].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. If  $Y \in \tau$  and  $U \in SO(X, \tau)$ , then  $Y \cap U \in SO(Y, \tau_Y)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space and  $A \subset Y \subset X$ , where  $Y \in \tau$ . If  $A \in SO(Y, \tau_Y)$ , then  $A \in SO(X, \tau)$ .

#### 3 **Properties of** $\alpha$ **-nearly** S-paracompact subsets

According to [9], a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\alpha S$ -paracompact if every open cover of A has a locally finite semi-open refinement which covers A. In this section, we introduce the notion of  $\alpha$ -nearly Sparacompact subset, which is closely related to  $\alpha$ nearly paracompact and  $\alpha S$ -paracompact subsets.

**Definition 3.1.** A subset A of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact, if every cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of A by regular open subsets of X has a locally semi-open refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  which covers A.

Obviously, every  $\alpha S$ -paracompact subset is  $\alpha$ nearly S-paracompact and every  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact subset is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact, but the converse is not necessarily true as we will see in Examples 3.2 and 3.3, below.

Example 3.2. There exist a space X and an  $\alpha$ nearly S-paracompact subset A of X that is not  $\alpha S$ -paracompact in X. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, a_i : i = i\}$  $1, 2, \ldots$ . Topologize X as follows: the points c and  $a_i$  are isolated; the fundamental system of neighborhoods of a is  $\{O_n(a) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ , where  $O_n(a) = \{a, a_i : i \ge n\}$ ; the fundamental system of neighborhoods of b is  $\{O_n(b) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\},\$ where  $O_n(b) = O_n(a) \cup \{b, c\}$ . I. Koväcević [19] showed that the set  $A = \{b, a_i : i = 1, 2, ...\}$  is  $\alpha$ nearly paracompact in X and, hence, it is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact. On the other hand, A is not  $\alpha S$ paracompact in X, because if we consider the cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{O_n(b) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$  of A by open subsets of X, then every semi-open refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$  which covers A is not locally finite at the point b, it follows that A is not  $\alpha S$ -paracompact in X.

Example 3.3. There exist a space X and an  $\alpha$ nearly S-paracompact subset A of X that is not  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact in X. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{p\},\$ where  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$  and  $p \notin \mathbb{R}^+$ . Topologize X as follows:  $\mathbb{R}^+$  has the usual topology and is an open subspace of X; a basic neighborhood of  $p \notin X$  takes the form  $O_n(p) = \{p\} \cup \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} (2i, 2i+1)$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . P.-Y. Li and Y.-K. Song [20] showed that  $A = \{p\} \cup \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} [2n, 2n+1]$  is an  $\alpha S$ -paracompact subset

n=0

of X and hence, it is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in X. On the other hand, A is not  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact in X, because we consider the cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{[0, \frac{1}{3})\} \cup$  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(i - \frac{1}{3}, i + \frac{1}{3}\right) \right\} \cup \left\{ \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} (2i, 2i + 1) \cup \{p\} \right\} \text{ of } A$ by regular open subsets of X, then every open refine-

ment of  $\mathcal{V}$  which covers A is not locally finite at the point p, it follows that A is not  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact in X.

**Theorem 3.4.** If A is a  $\delta g$ -closed subset of a nearly S-paracompact space  $(X, \tau)$ , then A is  $\alpha$ -nearly Sparacompact in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover of A by regular open subsets of X. Because  $A \subset \bigcup U_{\lambda}$  and A is  $\delta g$ -closed, we have  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\delta}(A) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ . For each

 $x \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{\delta}(A)$ , there exists an open set  $W_x$  such that  $x \in W_x$  and  $A \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(W_x)) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}' = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \cup \{\operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(W_x)) : x \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{\delta}(A)\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a regular open cover of the nearly S-paracompact space  $(X, \tau)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{U}'$  has a locally finite semi-open refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\beta : \beta \in \Delta\}$  which covers X. For each  $\beta \in \Delta$ , we have  $V_\beta \subset U_{\lambda(\beta)}$  for some  $\lambda(\beta) \in \Lambda$ or  $V_\beta \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(W_{x(\beta)}))$  for some  $x(\beta) \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{\delta}(A)$ . Then, the colection  $\mathcal{V}' = \{V_\beta : \beta \in \Delta_0\}$  where  $\Delta_0 = \{\beta \in \Delta : V_\beta \subseteq U_{\lambda(\beta)}\}$  is a locally finite semi-open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . We assert  $A \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_0} V_\beta$ . If  $z \notin D_\beta$ 

 $\bigcup_{eta\in\Delta_0}V_eta$ , then  $z
otin V_eta$  for each  $eta\in\Delta_0$  and, as  $\mathcal V$ 

is a cover of X, there exists  $\beta_0 \notin \Delta$  such that  $z \in V_{\beta_0} \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(W_{x(\beta_0)}))$ , with  $x(\beta_0) \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{\delta}(A)$ . Since  $A \cap \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(W_{x(\beta_0)})) = \emptyset$ , we conclude that  $z \notin A$  and consequently,  $A \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in \Delta_0} V_{\beta}$ . This shows that A

is an  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact subset of  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then, the following statements hold:

- 1. If A is an open  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $(A, \tau_A)$  is nearly S-paracompact.
- 2. If A is a clopen subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then A is  $\alpha$ nearly S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $(A, \tau_A)$  is nearly S-paracompact.

*Proof.* (1) Assume that A is an open  $\alpha$ -nearly Sparacompact subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(A, \tau_A)$ . Since A is open in  $(X, \tau)$ , by Lemma 2.1, it follows that  $U_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda} \cap A$ , with  $V_{\lambda} \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau)$ , for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  and, as A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact, there exists a collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\beta} : \beta \in \Delta\}$  of semi-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ such that  $\mathcal{W}$  is locally finite in  $(X, \tau)$  and is a refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$  which covers A. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{W}_A = \{W_{\beta} \cap A : \beta \in \Delta\}$  is a locally finite collection of semi-open sets in  $(A, \tau_A)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}_A$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers A. Therefore,  $(A, \tau_A)$ is a nearly S-paracompact space.

(2) If A is a clopen  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then by (1), we obtain  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a nearly Sparacompact space. Conversely, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\beta : \beta \in \Delta\}$  be a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathcal{U}_A = \{U_\beta \cap A : \beta \in \Delta\}$  is a collection of regular open sets in  $(A, \tau_A)$  and, as  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a nearly S-paracompact space, there exists a collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of semi-open sets in  $(A, \tau_A)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}$  is locally finite in  $(A, \tau_A)$  and is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers A. The remainder of proof is similar to that of [9, Theorem 3.4].

**Corollary 3.6.** Every clopen subspace of a nearly *S*-paracompact space is nearly *S*-paracompact.

*Proof.* Since every clopen set is  $\delta g$ -closed, the proof follows from Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

**Theorem 3.7.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff space and A is an  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then A is  $\theta$ s-closed.

*Proof.* Let  $x \notin A$ . Because  $(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff, for each  $y \in A$  there exists an open set  $U_y$  containing ysuch that  $x \notin Cl(U_y)$ . Since  $U_y \subset Int(Cl(U_y))$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{U} = \{Int(Cl(U_y)) : y \in A\}$  is a cover of Aby regular open subsets of X and, as A is  $\alpha$ -nearly Sparacompact, there exists a collection  $\mathcal{V}$  of semi-open sets in X such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers A. The remainder of proof is similar to that of [9, Theorem 3.7].

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a regular space and A be a subset of X. Then, A is  $\alpha$ S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$ if and only if A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* If A is an  $\alpha S$ -paracompact subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , the A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$ , because every regular open set is open. For the converse, let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\beta} : \beta \in \Delta\}$  a cover of A by open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . For each  $x \in A$ , there exists a  $\beta(x) \in \Delta$  such that  $x \in U_{\beta(x)}$  and, as  $(X, \tau)$  is a regular space, there exists an open subset  $V_x$  of  $(X,\tau)$  such that  $x \in V_x \subset \operatorname{Cl}(V_x) \subset U_{\beta(x)}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_x : x \in A\}$  is a cover of A by open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  and, as  $V_x \subset Int(Cl(V_x))$ , we have  $\mathcal{V}' = \{ \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V_x)) : x \in A \}$  is a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since A is  $\alpha$ -nearly Sparacompact, there exists a collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_{\lambda} :$  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ } of semi-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\mathcal W$  is a locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{V}'$  which covers A. Also, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , there exists a  $x(\lambda) \in A$  such that  $W_{\lambda} \subset \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(V_{x(\lambda)})) \subset \operatorname{Int}(U_{\beta(x(\lambda))}) = U_{\beta(x(\lambda))},$ it follows that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence, A is  $\alpha S$ -paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\square$ 

It is known that in the presence of the axiom of regularity, the notions of  $\alpha$ -paracompact and  $\alpha S$ -paracompact subsets coincide (see [20, Theorem 2.13]). According to the previous result, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a regular space and A be a subset of X. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

*I.* A is  $\alpha$ -paracompact.

#### 2. A is $\alpha S$ -paracompact.

#### 3. A is $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact.

The proof of the following result can be obtained as that of [9, Proposition 3.9].

**Proposition 3.10.** Let A and B be two subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subset B \subset sCl(A)$ . If A is sg-closed and  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact, then B is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let A and B be two subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subset B$  and B is clopen. Then A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(B, \tau_B)$  if and only if A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(B, \tau_B)$ . If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover of A by reg-

ular open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A \subset B \cap \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}\right) =$ 

 $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (B \cap U_{\lambda}). \text{ By Lemma 2.1, } \mathcal{U}' = \{B \cap U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in$ 

 $\Lambda$  is a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(B, \tau_B)$  and, hence, there exists a collection  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} : \beta \in \Delta\}$  of semi-open sets in  $(B, \tau_B)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{U}'$  which covers A. Using Lemma 2.4, we obtain  $\mathcal{V}$  is a collection of semi-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a locally finite refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Therefore A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$ . Conversely, assume that A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(B, \tau_B)$ . Since  $B \in \operatorname{RO}(X, \tau)$ , by Lemma 2.2, it follows that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of A by regular open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  and, hence, there exists a collection  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\beta} : \beta \in \Delta\}$  of semi-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite in  $(X, \tau)$  and is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers A. Because  $B \in \tau$ , by Lemma 2.3, we obtain  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{V_{\beta} \cap B : \beta \in \Delta\}$  is a collection of semi-open sets in  $(B, \tau_B)$ . It can easily be shown that  $\mathcal{V}_B = \{V_\beta \cap B : \beta \in \Delta\}$  is locally finite in  $(B, \tau_B)$  and is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers A. Hence A is  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact in  $(B, \tau_B)$ .  $\Box$ 

## **4** Invariance under perfect functions

In this section, we study the invariance of nearly Sparacompact spaces under direct and inverse images of open, perfect, and regular perfect functions. In what follows, we consider that X and Y are sets that are endowed with respective topologies. Furthermore, for a function  $f : X \to Y$  and a point  $y \in Y$ , the inverse image  $f^{-1}(\{y\})$  is denoted by  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Recall that a function  $f : X \to Y$  is called *perfect* [21] if f is surjective, continuous, closed and the fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ . A function  $f : X \to Y$  is called *almost continuous* [22] (resp. almost completely continuous [23]) if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is an open (resp. regular open) subset of X for every regular open subset U of Y. Also,  $f: X \to Y$  is called *almost open* [22] if f(U) is an open subset of Y for every regular open subset U of X. Next, we introduce the classes of regular closed, regular open and regular perfect functions.

**Definition 4.1.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is said to be regular closed (resp. regular open), if f(U) is a regular closed (resp. regular open) subset of Y for every closed (resp. open) subset U of X.

We says that a function  $f : X \to Y$  is *regular* perfect, if f is surjective, continuous, regular closed and the fiber  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ .

**Remark 4.2.** *From the definitions we have the following facts:* 

- 1. A function  $f : X \to Y$  is almost continuous (resp. almost completely continuous) if and only if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a closed (resp. regular closed) subset of X for every regular closed subset B of Y.
- 2. Every open function is almost open and, every continuous function is almost continuous.
- 3. Every regular closed function is closed. Also, every regular open function is open and, hence, it is almost open.

The proof of the following result is easy and therefore omitted.

**Lemma 4.3.** For a function  $f : X \to Y$  consider the following statemens:

- 1. f is regular closed.
- 2. For each set  $B \subset Y$  and each open set  $U \subset X$ containing  $f^{-1}(B)$ , there exists a regular open set  $V \subset Y$  such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .
- 3. For each point  $y \in Y$  and each open set  $U \subset X$  containing  $f^{-1}(y)$ , there exists a regular open set  $V \subset Y$  such that  $y \in V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$ .

The following example shows that the converse of implication  $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$  in Lemma 4.3, in general, is not true.

Example 4.4. There exists a function that satisfies part (3) of the previous Lemma but that is not regular closed. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of real numbers and consider  $\tau_u$  and  $\tau_c$  the usual topology and the cofinite topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively. Let  $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau_c) \rightarrow$  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$  be the identity function. It is easy to see that part (3) of the previous lemma is satisfied, because  $\tau_c \subset \tau_u$  and the basics in  $\tau_u$  are regular open sets in  $\tau_u$ . On the other hand, as  $\{0\}$  is a closed set in  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_c)$  and  $f(\{0\})$  is not a regular closed set in  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$ , we have f is not a regular closed function.

To achieve the objectives of this section we will use the following three Lemmas.

**Lemma 4.5.** [22, Lemma 1] If  $f : X \to Y$  is an almost continuous and almost open function, then it is almost completely continuous.

**Lemma 4.6.** [24, Lemma 3.1] Let  $f : X \to Y$ an open and continuous function. If U is a semiopen subset of Y and V is an open subset of X, then  $f^{-1}(U) \cap V$  is a semi-open subset of X.

**Lemma 4.7.** [25, Lemma 3.10.11] If  $f : X \to Y$  is a perfect function and  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a locally finite collection of subsets of X, then  $f(V) = \{f(V_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a locally finite collection of subsets of Y.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be an open and perfect function. If X is nearly S-paracompact, then Y is nearly S-paracompact.

*Proof.* Asume that X is a nearly S-paracompact space and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\beta} : \beta \in \Delta\}$  be a regular open cover of Y. Since the hypotheses of Lemma 4.5 are satisfied, the function f is almost completely continuous and so,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = \{f^{-1}(U_{\beta}) : \beta \in \Delta\}$  is a regular open cover of X. Hence,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$  has a locally finite semi-open refinement  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ which covers X. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there exists an open set  $O_{\lambda}$  such that  $O_{\lambda} \subset V_{\lambda} \subset Cl(O_{\lambda})$  and by continuity of f we have  $f(O_{\lambda}) \subset f(V_{\lambda}) \subset f(Cl(O_{\lambda})) \subset$  $Cl(f(O_{\lambda}))$ . Since f is open, it follows that  $f(O_{\lambda})$ is an open set for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and, so,  $f(V_{\lambda})$  is a semi-open set in Y for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Therefore,  $f(\mathcal{V}) = \{f(V_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a semi-open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers Y. Finally, By Lema 4.7, we conclude that  $f(\mathcal{V})$  is locally finite in Y. This shows that Y is a nearly S-paracompact space.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be an open and regular perfect function. If Y is nearly S-paracompact, then X is nearly S-paracompact.

*Proof.* Suppose that Y is a nearly S-paracompact space and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be regular open cover of X. For each  $y \in Y$ , we have  $f^{-1}(y) \subset X \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ , which tells us that  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover

of  $f^{-1}(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ . The compactness of  $f^{-1}(y)$  guarantees the existence of a finite subcollection  $\mathcal{U}_y = \{U_{\lambda_1}(y), U_{\lambda_2}(y), \cdots, U_{\lambda_n}(y)\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  which

covers  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Since  $O_y = \bigcup_{i=1} U_{\lambda_i}(y)$  is an open set

such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subset O_y$  and, as f is a regular closed function, then by Lemma 4.3, there exists a regular open set  $V_y$  in Y such that  $y \in V_y$  and  $f^{-1}(V_y) \subset O_y$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_y : y \in Y\}$  is a regular open cover of Y and, hence it has a locally finite semi-open refinement which covers Y. By [26, Lemma 1.3] (case  $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ ), there exists a locally finite semi-open cover  $\mathcal{W} = \{W_y : y \in Y\}$  of Y such that  $W_y \subset V_y$ . Put  $\mathcal{G}_y = \{U_{\lambda_i}(y) \cap f^{-1}(W_y) : i = 1, 2, 3..., n\}$ . Using the Lemma 4.6 and proceeding as in the proof of [24, Theorem 3.2], we conclude that  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \mathcal{G}_y$ 

is a locally finite semi-open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers X. Therefore, X is a nearly S-paracompact space.

### 5 Stability under sum and product

In this section, we study the behavior of the sum and the product of spaces when one of the factors is a nearly S-paracompact space.

**Theorem 5.1.** The sum  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$  is nearly *S*-paracompact if and only if  $X_{\lambda}$  is nearly *S*-paracompact, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $X = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$  is nearly S-paracompact. Since every  $X_{\lambda}$  is clopen in X, by Corollary 3.6, we conclude that for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $X_{\lambda}$  is a nearly S-paracompact space. Conversely, assume that  $X_{\lambda}$  is a nearly S-paracompact space for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a regular open cover of X = $\bigoplus X_{\lambda}$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda} = \{U \cap X_{\lambda} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a regular open cover of  $X_{\lambda}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{U}_{\lambda}$  has a locally finite semi-open refinement  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  which covers  $X_{\lambda}$ . Let us define  $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a collection of semi-open sets in X such that  $X = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda} \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}} V = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$ , that is,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a semi-open cover of X. On the other hand, if  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , then there exists a  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$ , and so,  $V \subset U \cap X_{\lambda} \subset U$  for some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , which tells us that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Finally we will show that  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite in  $X = \bigoplus X_{\lambda}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in X_{\lambda_0}$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ , and as  $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda_0}$  is locally finite in en  $X_{\lambda_0}$ , there exists an open set Bin  $X_{\lambda_0}$  such that  $x \in B$  and  $\{V \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_0} : V \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ 

is a finite set. Now,  $(B \cap X_{\lambda_0}) \cap X_{\lambda} = B \cap X_{\lambda_0}$ if  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ , and  $(B \cap X_{\lambda_0}) \cap X_{\lambda} = \emptyset$  if  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ . Then  $(B \cap X_{\lambda_0}) \cap X_{\lambda}$  is an open set in  $X_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda, \text{ and so, } B = B \cap X_{\lambda_0} \text{ is an open set in } \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ such that  $x \in B$ . Also, if  $W \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $W \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_0}$ , then  $\{W \in \mathcal{V} : W \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite set. Otherwise, if  $W \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $W \notin \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_0}$ , then  $W \in \mathcal{V}_{\lambda}$  con  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$  and so  $W \cap B \subset X_{\lambda} \cap X_{\lambda_0} = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $\{W \in \mathcal{V} : W \cap B \neq \emptyset\} = \emptyset$  is a finite set. This shows that the collection  $\mathcal{V}$  is locally finite in  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$  and hence, we conclude that  $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$  is a nearly S-paracompact space.  $\Box$ 

Next, we consider the Cartesian product  $X \times Y$  of two spaces X and Y, endowed with the product topology. The following two lemmas will be useful to study the behavior of the Cartesian product  $X \times Y$  when one of the factors is a space nearly S-paracompact. The proofs are easy and therefore omitted.

**Lemma 5.2.** For every pair  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  and every regular open subset U of  $X \times Y$  containing (x, y), there exist two regular open sets W and V in X and Y, respectively, such that  $(x, y) \in W \times V \subset U$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** If W is a semi-open subset of X and V is a semi-open subset of Y, then  $W \times V$  is a semi-open subset of the product space  $X \times Y$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** If X is a nearly S-paracompact space and Y is a nearly compact space, then the product space  $X \times Y$  is nearly S-paracompact.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a regular open cover of  $X \times Y$ . For each pair  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $(x, y) \in U$ , so by Lemma 5.2, there exist two regular open sets  $W_{(x,y)}$  and  $V_{(x,y)}$  in X and Y, respectively, such that  $(x, y) \in W_{(x,y)} \times V_{(x,y)} \subset U$ . Let  $I_x = \{x\} \times Y$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $\{V_{(x,y)} : (x, y) \in I_x\}$  is a regular open cover of Y, and as Y is a nearly compact space, there exists a finite subset  $J_x$  of  $I_x$ , such that  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_{(x,y)} : (x, y) \in J_x\}$  is a cover of Y. On the other hand, for each  $x \in X$ , put  $M_x = \bigcap_{(x,y)\in J_x} W_{(x,y)}$ . Then,  $M_x$  is a regular open set

containing x and the collection  $\mathcal{W} = \{M_x : x \in X\}$ is a regular open cover of X. Since X is a nearly Sparacompact space, there exists a locally finite semiopen refinement  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of  $\mathcal{W}$  which covers X. Now, put  $\mathcal{H} = \{G_\lambda \times V_{(x,y)} : \lambda \in \Lambda, (x,y) \in J_x\}$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a locally finite semi-open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers  $X \times Y$ . Indeed:

For each λ ∈ Λ and each (x, y) ∈ J<sub>x</sub>, there exists a set M<sub>x</sub> ∈ W such that G<sub>λ</sub> ⊂ M<sub>x</sub> ⊂ W<sub>(x,y)</sub>, which implies that G<sub>λ</sub> × V<sub>(x,y)</sub> ⊂ W<sub>(x,y)</sub> × V<sub>(x,y)</sub> ⊂ U for some U ∈ U, so H is a refinement of U.

- If  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ , then  $x \in G_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $y \in V_{(x,y)}$  for some  $(x, y) \in J_x$ . Thus,  $(x, y) \in G_{\lambda} \times V_{(x,y)}$ , and hence,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a cover of  $X \times Y$ .
- Since V<sub>(x,y)</sub> is a regular open set in Y, then it is semi-open, and by Lemma 5.3, we have G<sub>λ</sub> × V<sub>(x,y)</sub> is a semi-open set in X×Y, for each λ ∈ Λ and each (x, y) ∈ J<sub>x</sub>. Therefore, H is a collection of semi-open sets in X × Y.
- If (x, y) ∈ X × Y, then there exists an open subset O<sub>x</sub> of X such that x ∈ O<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>x</sub> intersects a finite number of elements of G. Also, there exists an open subset D<sub>y</sub> of Y such that y ∈ D<sub>y</sub> and D<sub>y</sub> intersects a finite number of elements of V. Thus, O<sub>x</sub> × D<sub>y</sub> is an open subset of X × Y such that (x, y) ∈ O<sub>x</sub> × D<sub>y</sub> and O<sub>x</sub> × D<sub>y</sub> intersects a finite number of elements of H. Therefore, H is locally finite.

This shows that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a locally finite semi-open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  which covers  $X \times Y$  and hence,  $X \times Y$  is nearly *S*-paracompact.

### 6 Conclusion

Paracompactness is one of the most useful notions in general topology, because many of the spaces studied in this branch of mathematics are paracompacts. This notion and its various generalizations (nearly paracompactnes, almost paracompactness, Sparacompactnes, etc.) describe the relation between a locally finite property and an entire property of spaces. In this work, we have used the classes of regular open sets and semi-open sets to introduce and study new generalizations of paracompactness of a subset and a space, called  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact subset and nearly S-paracompact space. We have established the most relevant properties of the  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact subsets and their relation to the  $\alpha$ -nearly paracompact and  $\alpha S$ -paracompact subsets. We show that nearly S-paracompactness is invariant under the direct image of a perfect open function, and is also invariant under the inverse image of a perfect regular open function. We analyze the behavior of nearly S-paracompact spaces through the sum of topological spaces and show that the Cartesian product of a nearly S-paracompact space by a nearly compact space is a nearly S-paracompact space. Regarding this last result, we must point out that it was not possible to use Theorem 4.9 to analyze the behavior of the product  $X \times Y$  of a nearly S-paracompact space X and a nearly compact space Y, because the projection  $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y$  is not necessarily a perfect regular function (in fact it is not a closed regular). Due to the fact that various modifications of the notion of paracompactness have been approached in the contexts of

- A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be α-nearly S-paracompact modulo I if for any regular open cover U of A, there exist I ∈ I and a locally finite collection V of semi-open sets such that V refines U and A ⊂ U{V : V ∈ V}∪I. An ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be nearly S-paracompact with respect to I if X is α-nearly S-paracompact modulo I.
- Let (X, τ, E) be a soft topological space. A soft set A over X is said to be soft α-nearly S-paracompact if for any soft regular open cover U of A, there exists a soft locally finite family V of soft semi-open sets such that V refines U and A ⊂ U{V : V ∈ V}. A soft opological space (X, τ, E) is said to be soft nearly S-paracompact if X̃ is soft α-nearly S-paracompact.
- 3. Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be a soft topological space and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a soft ideal on X. A soft set A over X is said to be soft  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact modulo  $\mathcal{I}$  if for any soft regular open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of A, there exist  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  and a soft locally finite collection  $\mathcal{V}$  of soft semi-open sets such that  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $A \subset \bigcup \{V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} \cup I$ . A soft ideal topological space  $(X, \tau, E, \mathcal{I})$  is said to be soft nearly S-paracompact with respect to  $\mathcal{I}$  if  $\tilde{X}$  is soft  $\alpha$ -nearly S-paracompact modulo  $\mathcal{I}$ .

# 7 Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the valuable comments and suggestions of the three referees, which helped to improve the quality of this work.

References:

- J. Dieudonné, Une generalisation des espaces compacts, J. Math. Pures Appl. 23 (9) (1944), 65– 76.
- [2] B. E. Katenov, D. E. Kanetova and N. I. Altybaev, On countably uniformly paracompact spaces, AIP Conf. Proc. 2324 (2021), 020011-1– 020011-4; https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0046213
- [3] A. Salam Abdulkadhum and Z. D. Al-Nafie: *On Paracompactness of the space*  $C^{Lipk(\Omega)}$ , J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1804** (1) (2021), Article ID 012122.
- [4] M. Zhanakunova and B. Katenov, On strongly uniformly paracompact spaces and mappings, AIP Conf. Proc. 2325 (2021), 020030-1–020030-5; https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0040268

- [5] C. E. Aull, α-paracompact subsets, Proc. Second Prague Topological Symp. 1966, Acad. Pub. House Czechoslovak Acad. Sci., Prague (1967), 45–51.
- [6] M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya, *On nearly paracompact spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **6** (21) (1969), 3–16.
- [7] M. H. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1937), 374–481.
- [8] I. Koväcević, On nearly paracompact spaces, Publ. De L' Inst. Math. (N.S.) 25 (39) (1979), 63– 69.
- [9] K. Y. Al-Zoubi: *S-paracompact spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. **110** (1-2) (2006), 165–174.
- [10] J. Sanabria, E. Rosas and C. Blanco, *Nearly S-paracompact spaces*, Submitted (2020).
- [11] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36–41.
- [12] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hilderbrand, Semitopological properties, Fund. Math. 74 (1972), 233–254.
- [13] N. V. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **78** (1968), 103–118.
- [14] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On  $\delta$ -generalized closed sets and  $T_{3/4}$ -spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math. 17 (1996), 15–31.
- [15] P. Bhattacharyya and B. K. Lahiri, Semigeneralized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math. 29 (1987), 375–382.
- [16] M. K. Singal and A. Mathur, On nearly compact spaces, Bull. Un. Math. Ital. 4 (6) (1969), 702– 710.
- [17] T. Mršević, I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy, *On semi-regulation topologies*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **38** (1985), 40–54.
- [18] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hilderbrand, *Semiclosure*, Texas J. Sci. **22** (1971), 99–112.
- [19] I. Koväcević, On nearly and almost paracompactness, Kyungpook Math. J. 30 (2) (1990), 181–193.
- [20] P. Y. Li and K. Y. Song, Some remarks on S-paracomopact spaces, Acta Math. Hungar. 118 (4) (2008), 345–355.

- [21] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1970.
- [22] T. Noiri, Almost-continuity and some separation axioms, Glasnik Mat. 9 (29) (1974), 131–135.
- [23] J. K. Kohli and D. Singh, *Between strong continuity and almost continuity*, Appl. Gen. Topol. 11 (1) (2010), 29–42.
- [24] X. Ge, *Mappings on S-paracompact spaces*, Acta. Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. **19** (2009), 197–202.
- [25] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [26] J. Sanabria, E. Rosas, C. Carpintero, M. Salas-Brown and O. García, *S-Paracompactness in ideal topological spaces*, Mat. Vesnik **68** (3) (2016), 192–203.
- [27] J. Sanabria, E. Rosas, C. Carpintero, N. Rajesh and A. Gómez, *S-Paracompactness modulo an ideal*, Cubo 18 (1) (2016), 47–57.

- [28] F. Lin, Soft connected spaces and soft paracompact spaces, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. Eng. 7 (2) (2013), 277–283.
- [29] T. M. Al-shami, L. D. R. Kočinac and B. A. Asaad: Sum of soft topological spaces, Mathematics 8 (6) (2020), Article 990; doi:10.3390/math8060990
- [30] E. Turanli, I. Demir and O. B. Özbakir, Some types of soft paracompactness via soft ideals, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 10 (2) (2019), 197–211.

# Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en US